

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Committee Evaluation

Committee: File Number: Applicant Name: Application Title:

Challenge – The aim and importance of the endeavour (40% for IG; 50% for IDG)

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory -Good	Good- Very Good	Very Good- Excellent
		< 3.0	3.0-3.9	4.0-4.9	5.0-6.0
Originality, significance and expected contribution to knowledge					
Appropriateness of the literature review					
Appropriateness of the theoretical approach or framework					
Appropriateness of the methods/approach					
<u>Quality of training and mentoring</u> to be provided to students, emerging scholars and other highly qualified personnel, and opportunities for them to contribute					
Potential for the project results to have influence and impact within and/or beyond the social sciences and humanities research community					

Feasibility – The plan to achieve excellence (20% for both IG & IDG)

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory -Good	Good- Very Good	Very Good- Excellent
		< 3.0	3.0-3.9	4.0-4.9	5.0-6.0
Appropriateness of the proposed timeline, and probability that the objectives will be met					
Expertise of the applicant or team in relation to the proposed research					

Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada Committee Evaluation

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory -Good	Good- Very Good	Very Good- Excellent
		< 3.0	3.0-3.9	4.0-4.9	5.0-6.0
Appropriateness of the requested budget, justification of proposed costs, and, where applicable, other cash and/or in-kind contributions					
Quality and appropriateness of knowledge mobilization plans, including effective dissemination, exchange and engagement with stakeholders within and/or beyond the research community, where applicable					

Capability – The expertise to succeed (40% for IG, 30% for IDG)

Sub-criteria (No specific weighting assigned to each sub-criterion)	N/A	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory -Good	Good- Very Good	Very Good- Excellent
		< 3.0	3.0-3.9	4.0-4.9	5.0-6.0
Quality, quantity and significance of past experience and published and/or creative outputs of the applicant and any co-applicants, relative to their roles in the project and to the stage of their career					
Evidence of past knowledge mobilization activities (e.g., films, performances, commissioned reports, knowledge syntheses, experience in collaboration/other interactions with stakeholders, contributions to public debate and media), and of impacts on professional practice, social services and policies, etc.					
Quality and quantity of past contributions to the development, training and mentoring of students, postdoctoral researchers and other highly qualified personnel					